

File

12183

Harris

County

**SCHOOL LAND**

676

Acres

Survey No.

Block No.

Surveyed for

R. S. Barrow

Houston, Tx

ACT APRIL 15, 1905

12 Mi. S. 21° W.

A 6168

No vacancy. See endorsement on field notes

On C. V. Uglow - (3<sup>rd</sup> 41)

8-16-19 Blucher

Rejected no vacancy

8/20/19

J. T. Robison  
Com.**CONTENTS**7-30-198  
1. Application to Surveyor

2. Field Notes

2 1/4 Surveyors Explanation } 7-1-19

2 1/2 Sketch }  
3. Application to Purchase



# Application for Survey

APPLICATION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

To J. S. Boyles County Surveyor of Harris  
County, Texas, or to \_\_\_\_\_ District Surveyor of  
\_\_\_\_\_ Land District:

By virtue of Section 8 of an Act approved April 15, 1905, and Act May 16, 1907, I hereby apply for a survey of the following described unsurveyed land appropriated to the Public Free School Fund under Chapter 11, Act February 23, 1900, to-wit:

Situated in Harris County, Texas, about 12 miles S.27° W.

from the County site. Said tract is bounded as follows:

On the North by sections in name of James Bailey and H.S. Bachelder, as same were fixed and established by decree of court of Appeals in cause No. 18685 Harris District Court;

On the South by section in name of C.V. Uglow, as originally located on the ground;

On the East by section Originally located for Ben Conner assignee of H. Landers, and

On the West by surveys in names of G.W. Cleveland and the Texas Trunk Rail Road company.

I solemnly swear that I desire said land surveyed with the intention of buying it, and I am not acting in collusion with, or attempting to acquire said land for another person or corporation.

(N. B.—Write name and P. O. address distinctly.)

B. H. Burrow, Applicant.

P. O. Houston, Harris County, Texas.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1917.

(Seal must not be omitted.)

R. W. Houk,

A Notary Public in and for Harris County, Texas.

I, J. S. Boyles, County Surveyor of Harris County,

Texas, or Surveyor of \_\_\_\_\_ Land District, hereby certify that the above and foregoing application

No. 54 was filed for record on the 16 day of April 1917, at 10<sup>30</sup> o'clock 9 M.,

and recorded in Vol. 8, page 54, in my office Harris County, Texas.

J. S. Boyles

County Surveyor Harris Co Texas



10 30 AM.

# LAND OFFICE

S. F. No. 12183

## Application for Survey

Filed July 14 1919

J. T. Robison  
Commissioner.

Phillips  
Clerk.





Plat of Survey No. \_\_\_\_\_

The State of Texas,

Survey

~~TEXAS~~ Harris

County,

No. \_\_\_\_\_

or \_\_\_\_\_

District,

Blk. \_\_\_\_\_

FIELD NOTES of a survey of 676acres of land made for R.H. BarrowVariation 9°00' East

by virtue of his affidavit and application made

before R.W. Houk, Notary Public, Harris County, Texas on the 16 day  
of April 1919, and filed with the Surveyor of HARRIS County or andDistrict, on the 16 day of April 1919, under Section 8 of an Act approved April 15, 1905, and amend-

ment Act May 16, 1907, providing for the sale of the unsurveyed school land appropriated to the Public Free

School Fund by an Act approved February 23, 1900. Said land is situated in HarrisCounty, about 12 miles S 21° W from county site, and known as Survey No. \_\_\_\_\_, in Block

No. \_\_\_\_\_, beginning at a stake in fence corner, the same being the S.W. Corner

of the N.B. Waters, the N.W. Corner of the B. Conners, Assnee. H. Sanders, and

the N.E. Corner of the C.V. Uglow Surveys, Thence West with north line of

said Uglow Survey, 1900.8 vrs, to a stake on the east line of T.T. RR No. 7

15, and being the N.W. corner of the C.V. Uglow as originally located, Th-

ence North with the East line of the said T.T. RR. and the Corrected H.S.

Bachelder Surveys, at 929.4 vrs, cross county road in all 1902 vrs to an

iron pipe, a re-entrant corner of the corrected Bachelder Survey, Thence

East with south line of Bachelder 897 vs, to a stake the south east cor.

of the said Bachelder, Thence North with the East line of the same 203

vs. to the southwest corner of the Jas. Bailey as fixed in Cause No. 18685

District Court Harris County, Thence East with the same on its south line

1003.6 vrs, to a stake in fence on the West line of the Jas. Jefferies,

Thence South with the west lines of the said Jas. Jefferies and the N.B.

Waters surveys, at 203 vrs, pass the northwest corner of the said Waters

and the southwest corner of the said Jefferies, at 829.2 cross a fence

at 1154 varas recross the aforementioned county road, at 1630.4 vrs

pass the southwest and northwest corner of enclosures, at 2058.5 vrs

pass a gas pipe in fence line, in all 2015 vrs

to the place of beginning.

Bearings marked noneCharlie Quin,Surveyed June 19, 20, 21 1919.Cliff M. Quinn

Chain Carriers.

I, J.S. Boyles, Surveyor of Harris Texas,

do hereby certify that the foregoing survey was made by me on the ground, and according to law; that the limits,

boundaries and corners, with the marks, natural and artificial, are truly described in the foregoing Plat and Field

Notes, just as I found them on the ground; and they are recorded in my office in Book L, page 433This 26 day of June 1919Surveyor of Harris County, Texas.



I, J.S. Boyles

Surveyor of HARRIS County,

do solemnly swear that the classification and market value of the land included within the limits of the within field notes is as follows, viz:

WITHIN TEN MILES OF PRODUCING  
Loam OIL Soil

State Character.

Agricultural, market value \$10.00

Dry AY####

Grazing, market value \$10.00

Dry AY####

Kind of timber none

Market value of timber none

Overflow no Swamp no

Suitable for settlement yes

J. Boyles

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this the

26 day of June 1919

P. E. Floeck

Notary Public Harris County

Texas.

## LAND OFFICE

S. F. No. 12183

### FIELD NOTES

Filed July 14 1919

J. T. Robison  
Commissioner.

Phillips  
Clerk.

Approved \_\_\_\_\_ 1919

Commissioner.

*No vacancy - On C.V. Uglow - 3<sup>rd</sup> class - 41  
According to Decree of Court in Subblock  
Binns et al, S.W. Reporter No. 50, p. 584,  
the Bailey and Roachelder surveys all  
held to their northern positions, i.e.,  
the Bailey should begin at the N.W. cor.  
of the J. Kopman Survey. The C.V.  
Uglow survey begins at the N.W. cor. of  
a Connor Survey and calls for the  
south line of the Roachelder, and hence  
is immediately south of the latter.  
This file is there for in conflict with  
said C.V. Uglow survey. Hence no vacancy  
for this file.*

8-16-19

Blucher

I, \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy Surveyor of \_\_\_\_\_, Texas,  
do hereby certify that I have examined the foregoing Plat and Field Notes and find them correct, and that they  
were made on the ground as stated in the above certificate, and that they are recorded in my office in Book  
\_\_\_\_\_, page \_\_\_\_\_, This \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 1919

Surveyor of \_\_\_\_\_ County, Texas,

Deputy Surveyor of \_\_\_\_\_ County, Texas.



#### EXPLANATION:

The question I have tried to determine is whether or not there is a vacancy, bounded by the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys on the north and the C. V. Uglow Survey on the south, that is to say, a vacancy lying north of the Uglow and south of the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys.

Let us first see where the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys were originally located on the ground.

The field notes of the Bailey, made by Trott, in 1839, call to begin at the N.W. corner of the John Belden (asse Jeffers); Thence south 3600 vs., cross Sims Bayou 1460 vs. and pass Beldin's S.W. corner 1900 vs.; thence W. 1003-  $2/3$  vs S.E. corner Bachelder; Thence N. 3600 N.E. corner Bachelder, cross Sims Bayou at 1850 vs.; Thence E. 1003 vs. to beginning;

There is no dispute or question as to the location of the N.W. corner of the Jeffers and Sims Bayou, as called for in these field notes, and there is no other call in the field notes in conflict with these recognized corners and natural objects, consequently, it is clear that as originally located the Bailey occupied the position indicated by its Trott field notes, with the greater portion thereof lying south of Simms Bayou. The field notes of the P. Kopman also call for the N.E. corner of the Bailey to be at the Kopman S.W. corner and the Jeffers N.W. corner. The Kopman was not surveyed until four or five months after the Bailey, consequently, the original Bailey field notes could not have called for it.

The Bachelder is laid out west of the Bailey and calls for the Bailey N.W. corner Sims Bayou at 1750 S and the S.W. corner of the Bailey, consequently, there is no question as to its original location. This original location of the Bailey put the S.E. corner of the Bailey near the S.W. corner (200 vs from) of the Northern Ben Connors (void survey now covered by N. B. Waters), and the N.W. corner of the Southern Ben Connors (asse of Landers). (See map of 1840 for Connors surveys.) This location remained unchanged and undisturbed until 1846 when the draftsman in the General Land Office changed the Bailey field notes to call for the N.W. corner of the P. Kopman instead of the N.W. corner of the Jeffers,

2



EXPLANATION:

The question I have tried to determine is whether or not there is a vacancy, bounded by the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys on the north and the C. V. Uglow Survey on the south, that is to say, a vacancy lying north of the Uglow and south of the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys.

Let us first see where the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys were originally located on the ground.

The field notes of the Bailey, made by Trotter, in 1839, call to begin at the N.W. corner of the John Belden (also Jeffers); thence south 3600 yds., cross Sims Bayou 1400 yds. and pass Belden's S.W. corner 1900 yds.; thence W. 1005-2 1/2 yds. S.E. corner Bachelder; thence N. 3600 yds. N.E. corner Bachelder, cross Sims Bayou at 1850 yds.; thence E. 1005 yds. to beginning;

There is no dispute or question as to the location of the N.W. corner of the Jeffers and Sims Bayou, as called for in these field notes, and there is no other call in the field notes in conflict with these recognized corners and natural objects, consequently, it is clear that as originally located the Bailey occupied the position indicated by its Trotter field notes, with the greater portion thereof lying south of Sims Bayou. The field notes of the P. Kopman also call for the N.E. corner of the Bailey to be at the Kopman S.W. corner and the Jeffers N.W. corner. The Kopman was not surveyed until four or five months after the Bailey, consequently, the original Bailey field notes could not have called for it.

The Bachelder is laid out west of the Bailey and calls for the Bailey N.W. corner Sims Bayou at 1750 yds and the S.W. corner of the Bailey, consequently, there is no question as to its original location. This original location of the Bailey put the S.E. corner of the Bailey near the S.W. corner (200 yds from) of the Northern Ben Gonnere (void survey now covered by N. B. Waters), and the N.W. corner of the Southern Ben Gonnere (also of Landers). (See map of 1840 for Gonnere surveys.) This location remained unchanged and undisturbed until 1846 when the draftsman in the General Land Office changed the Bailey field notes to call for the N.W. corner of the P. Kopman instead of the N.W. corner of the Jeffers.

5 F 12 183



and the patent was issued and accepted on these changed field notes, which would indicate a position one mile north of the original position (See Lubbock vs Binns, 50 S.W. 504, 585).

Second: Now, consider where the C. V. Uglov Survey was located by its field notes; ~~xxxxx July 9, 1845~~

The Uglov field notes, made July 9, 1845, call for it to be west of and adjoining B. Conner and south of and adjoining the Bailey & Bachelder, and they begin at N.W. corner of Ben Conner and S.W. corner of J. Bailey; thence south 1901 vs with Conners west line to his S.W. corner; thence west 1901 vs; thence north 1901 vs, the S.W. corner Bachelder; *thence east 1901 vs to beg.*

There were three Ben Conners Surveys, all adjacent. The only ambiguity in the Uglov field notes is the question as to which of the Ben Conners Surveys was intended by said call, and this is clearly determined by the other calls for the Uglov to lie south of the Bachelder and Bailey, as the Bachelder & Bailey at this time (July 9, 1845) were still located in their original positions, their shifting to their northern position not having taken place <sup>until</sup> 1846 (See 50 S.W., 585.)

The Uglov then, as located by its field notes, in 1845, lay south of the Bachelder & Bailey, as located at that time, and west of the southern B. Conner, assignee Landers, and not in the position west of the northern Ben Conner (void survey, now covered by N. B. Waters), and in conflict with the Bachelder & Bailey Surveys, as then located.

After the Uglov had been located south of the Bachelder & Bailey, the location of the two latter were changed by the Chief draftsman in the General Land Office, in 1846, and the patent issued and accepted on these changed field notes, which placed them one mile further north than they were previously located, (50 S.W., 585). This, we think, pulled them away from the Uglov and left the vacancy between them and the Uglov, as the Uglov field notes were never changed and it would still occupy its original position.

*J. B. B. B.*  
*Co. Surveyor*



S. J. 12183 2/4

Surveyor's Explanation

Filed July 14-1919

J. J. Robinson

born

black

changed and it would still occupy its original position. between them and the Uglow, as the Uglow field notes were never This, we think, pulled them away from the Uglow and left the vacancy mile further north than they were previously located, (50 S.W., 585). and accepted on these changed field notes, which placed them one draftman in the General Land Office, in 1846, and the patent issued Bailey, the location of the two latter were changed by the Chief After the Uglow had been located south of the Bachelder & Surveys, as then located. by N. B. Waters), and in conflict with the Bachelder & Bailey position west of the northern Ben Conners (void survey, now covered west of the southern B. Conner, assignee landers, and not in the lay south of the Bachelder & Bailey, as located at that time, and The Uglow then, as located by its field notes, in 1845, (See 50 S.W., 585.) their shifting to their northern position not having taken place, 1846 time (July 2, 1845) were still located in their original positions, of the Bachelder and Bailey, as the Bachelder & Bailey at this is determined by the other calls for the Uglow to lie south which the Ben Conners Surveys was intended by said call, and this The only ambiguity in the Uglow field notes is the question as to There were three Ben Conners Surveys, all adjacent. 1845, the S.W. corner Bachelder; then call 1845 as to say, west line to his S.W. corner; thence west 1901 va; thence north and S.W. corner of J. Bailey; thence south 1901 va with Conners Bailey & Bachelder, and they begin at N.W. corner of Ben Conner be west of and adjoining B. Conner and south of and adjoining the The Uglow field notes, made July 2, 1845, call for it to located by its field notes; xxxxxxxxxx Second: Now, consider where the C. V. Uglow Survey was original position (See Lubbock vs Binns, 50 S.W., 585). notes, which would indicate a position one mile north of the and the patent was issued and accepted on these changed field





**MAP**  
**R. H. BARROW 676**  
**ACRE VACANCY SURVEY &**  
**CONNECTIONS**

J. Bailey & H.S. Bachelder Surveys Located as per Decees  
in cause No 10885 Harris County District Court, Lubbock Vs Binns.  
C.V. Uglov Survey Located from the NW Corner of the B. Connors Acreage  
of H. Sanders Survey. Barrow location based upon contention that  
Limitation Title does not run against the State of Texas.

Surveyed June 19-20-21 - 1919. Var 9" East. Scale 400 fts to 1 inch.

J. Stuart Bayles  
Harris County Surveyor

fences shown



2. 8. 12. 183  
③ Sketch  
Filed July 14. 1919  
J. J. Robison  
com.  
Phillips  
block